

_____ Court of Washington, County of _____	
Petitioner/s (<i>person/s who started this case</i>): _____ And Respondent/s (<i>other party/parties</i>): _____	No. _____ Order on Motion to Redact or Seal (ORSD) Clerk's action required: 4

Order on Motion to Redact or Seal

1. **A motion was made by:**
 - ☐ Petitioner.
 - ☐ Respondent.

2. **A hearing was held on (date) _____ . These people attended:**

<input type="checkbox"/> Petitioner	<input type="checkbox"/> in person	<input type="checkbox"/> by phone	<input type="checkbox"/> by video
<input type="checkbox"/> Petitioner's Lawyer	<input type="checkbox"/> in person	<input type="checkbox"/> by phone	<input type="checkbox"/> by video
<input type="checkbox"/> Respondent	<input type="checkbox"/> in person	<input type="checkbox"/> by phone	<input type="checkbox"/> by video
<input type="checkbox"/> Respondent's Lawyer	<input type="checkbox"/> in person	<input type="checkbox"/> by phone	<input type="checkbox"/> by video
<input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____	<input type="checkbox"/> in person	<input type="checkbox"/> by phone	<input type="checkbox"/> by video

3. **The court finds redaction or sealing is:**
 - ☐ **Necessary** and based on privacy rights or safety concerns that outweigh the public interest in access to the court record under GR 15. The court has considered the five factors required by *Seattle Times Co. v. Ishikawa*, 97 Wn.2d 30, 640 P.2d 716 (1982):
 1. The person who wants to limit access has made a showing of "serious and imminent threat to some other important interest." (*Describe*)
 2. Anyone present for the hearing was given an opportunity to disagree with the suggested restriction.

3. The method for limiting access is the least restrictive way to protect the interests threatened. *(Describe)*

4. The sealing or redaction ordered balances the interests of the person who wants to limit access with the public's right to know what has happened in court. *(Describe)*

5. The order is limited in application and time to serve its purpose. *(Describe)*

- ☐ **Not necessary.** The privacy rights or safety concerns do **not** outweigh the public interest in access to the court record, under GR 15. The court has considered the five factors required by *Seattle Times Co. v. Ishikawa*, 97 Wn.2d 30, 640 P.2d 716 (1982). *(Describe)*

4. **Court order. The motion is:**

- ☐ **Granted.**

Clerk's action. The clerk shall **seal** the following documents:

Document name: _____

Date filed: _____ Docket or sub number: _____

Document name: _____

Date filed: _____ Docket or sub number: _____

Document name: _____

Date filed: _____ Docket or sub number: _____

(Add lines for more documents if needed.)

Access to sealed documents:

Judges, commissioners, and other court personnel may access the sealed document/s listed above only to conduct official court business.

- ☐ No one else has access without a court order.

